

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,309	02/07/2002	Hiroshi Ito	219387US2S	7066
22850 7590 05/19/2004 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			WONG, EDNA	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1753	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\		jm			
_ ^ •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astina Comments	10/067,309	ITO, HIROSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
-	Edna Wong	1753			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ap	<u>oril 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-20 is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-10 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 7 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of the correction of the output of the output of the correction of the output of th	epted or b) objected to by the large drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1753

This is in response to the Amendment dated April 30, 2004. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Response to Arguments

### Specification

The disclosure has been objected to because of minor informalities.

The objection of disclosure has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims **7-8 and 10** have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claims 8 and 10, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

With respect to claim 7, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, has been *maintained* for the following reasons:

#### Claim 7

line 2, "said opening" (singular) lacks antecedent basis.

Art Unit: 1753

It is suggested that the word "opening" be amended to the word -- openings --.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 10 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Im et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0001745 A1).

The rejection of claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Im

et al. has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

Response to Amendment

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims 11-20 drawn to an invention nonelected with

traverse in the Provisional Election dated November 24, 2003. A complete reply to the

final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action

(37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention.

Claim 7

lines 4-5, it is unclear what is meant by "with respect to a direction in which said

Art Unit: 1753

mask and said mask are movable relative to each other".

#### Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 1-9 define over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a laser processing method for irradiating a mask with a plurality of openings formed therein with a pulsed laser, and irradiating a plurality of portions of a work to be processed with said pulse laser transmitted through said plurality of openings at the same time, said method comprising the steps of moving and setting as presently claimed, esp., wherein respective laser irradiated regions disposed adjacent to one another on said work are formed by irradiation with said pulse laser transmitted through said openings formed in positions different from one another on said mask, and boundaries of said laser irradiated regions disposed adjacent to each other contact at least each other.

Claim 10 define over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a laser processing method for irradiating a mask with a plurality of linear openings formed therein with a pulse laser, and irradiating a plurality of portions of a silicon film with said pulse laser transmitted through said plurality of openings at the same time, said method comprising the steps of allowing, moving, setting and polycrystallizing as presently claimed, esp., wherein setting the laser irradiated regions such

Art Unit: 1753

that boundaries of said laser irradiated regions disposed adjacent to each other contact each other.

The prior art does not contain any language that teaches or suggests the above.

Im et al. do not teach moving said mask and said work with respect to each other.

Shoemaker et al. teach moving said mask and said work with respect to each other and emitting said pulse laser a plurality of times (page 4, ¶ [0044] and [0048]; and Figs. 2 and 3). Shoemaker et al. does not teach wherein boundaries of said laser irradiated regions disposed adjacent to each other contact at least each other.

Lau et al. teach moving said mask and said work with respect to each other and emitting said pulse laser a plurality of times (page 3,  $\P$  [0039] and [0044]; and Figs. 3-5). Lau et al. do not teach wherein boundaries of said laser irradiated regions disposed adjacent to each other contact at least each other.

Hamada et al. teach moving said mask and said work with respect to each other and emitting said pulse laser a plurality of times (page 1, ¶ [0006]; and Fig. 1). Hamada et al. do not teach wherein boundaries of said laser irradiated regions disposed adjacent to each other contact at least each other.

Shoemaker et al., and Hamada et al. teach laser-drilling holes. Openings are formed in positions different from one another on a mask, however, the boundaries of

Art Unit: 1753

the laser irradiated regions (= holes) disposed adjacent to each other are not taught to contact at least each other.

Lau et al. teach laser printing. Openings are formed in positions different from one another on a mask (letters "A", "B", "C", etc. in the laminated mask 1) [Fig. 1], however, the boundaries of said laser irradiated regions disposed adjacent to each other are not taught to contact at least each other.

Therefore, a person skilled in the art would not have been motivated to adopt the above conditions, and a prima facie case of obviousness cannot be established.

Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

#### Citations

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

**Shoemaker et al.** (US Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0045974 A1) is cited to teach a method and apparatus for irradiating a mask with a plurality of openings formed therein with a pulse laser, and irradiating a plurality of portions of a work to be processed with said pulse laser transmitted through said plurality of openings at the same time.

Lau et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0192572 A1) is cited to

Art Unit: 1753

teach a method and apparatus for irradiating a mask with a plurality of openings formed therein with a pulse laser, and irradiating a plurality of portions of a work to be processed with said pulse laser transmitted through said plurality of openings at the same time.

Hamada et al. (US Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0000930 A1) is cited to teach a method and apparatus for irradiating a mask with a plurality of openings formed therein with a pulse laser, and irradiating a plurality of portions of a work to be processed with said pulse laser transmitted through said plurality of openings at the same time.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Art Unit: 1753

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edna Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-1349. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am to 5:00 pm, alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 9

Art Unit: 1753

Edna Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

EW May 17, 2004